

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/743,589	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SORENSEN, ELMGAARD BIRGER	
	<b>Examiner</b> Donald Heckenberg	<b>Art Unit</b> 1722	

**All Participants:**

- (1) Alan Fanucci (Applicant's Rep.).  
(2) Donald Heckenberg (PTO Exmr.).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 July 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Exmr. Heckenberg noted problem with claim 26 defining nits separator in light of most recent amendment to claim 21 which also defined the separator. Exmr. Heckenberg proposed canceling claim 26, to which Mr. Fanucci agreed.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)